

Sample Rubrics for the Grading of the Writing Deliverables

These rubrics are indicative of how assignments will be graded, but may vary from this standard.

1. **All documents** judged for
 - a. How well written they are in terms of grammar, clarity and other factors
 - b. How audience-focused they are, i.e., do they fulfill their purpose for intended readers
 - c. Improvements based on the writing analysis

2. **PI document** judged for how well these are described
 - a. Business value
 - b. Understanding of the project
 - c. Project risks and mitigations
 - d. Project approach and methodology
 - e. Use case diagrams

3. **Requirements document** judged for whether the requirements are:
 - a. **S**pecific
 - b. **M**easurable
 - c. **A**ctomic
 - d. **R**ealistic
 - e. **T**raceable
 - f. Well classified
 - g. Activity diagrams

4. **Design document** judged for
 - a. Complete coverage
 - b. Inclusion of design specifications
 - c. Whether UML conforms to standards
 - d. Traceability
 - e. Well organized

5. **Validation plan** judged for
 - a. Inclusion of traceability matrix
 - b. Risk analysis
 - c. Discussion of team's testing strategy
 - d. Coverage of non-functional requirements

6. **Test script(s)** judged for
 - a. Description of test environment
 - b. Ease of traceability
 - c. Explicitness of expected results
 - d. Comprehensive coverage per validation plan

7. **Implementation plan or User Manual** judged for
 - a. Easy to follow instructions
 - b. Well illustrated
 - c. Logical organization
 - d. Component diagrams
 - e. Scientific nature of abstract
 - f. Informative and engaging poster

8. **Abstract / poster** judged for
 - a. Scientific nature of abstract
 - b. Informative and engaging poster